## Program (UnivSt) - University Studies: World Languages AA. 1514

## Program Catalog Summary:

University Studies - 18 Unit Emphasis:
SC Program: AA. 1514

The World Languages emphasis is recommended for students pursuing intermediate fluency in a world language to facilitate communication in professional settings or to begin the first two years of a language or literature major and transfer to a university.

The Learning Outcomes correspond to the Institutional Student Learning Outcomes.

Choose 10-18 units from the courses listed below:
ASL 1 American Sign Language (4)
ASL 2 American Sign Language 2 (4)
ASL 3 American Sign Language 3 (4)
ASL 4 American Sign Language (4)
FREN 1 Elementary French (5)
FREN 2 Elementary French (5)
FREN 3 Intermediate French (3)
FREN 4 Intermediate French (3)
GERM 1 Elementary German (5)
GERM 2 Elementary German (5)
JAPN 1 Japanese 1 (5)
JAPN 2 Japanese 2 (5)
JAPN 3 Japanese 3 (5)
JAPN 4 Japanese 4 (5)
SPAN 1 Spanish 1 (5)
SPAN 2 Spanish 2 (5)
SPAN 3 Spanish 3 (4)
SPAN 4 Spanish 4 (4)
Select the remaining $0-8$ units from:
Any course not used above
ASL 1L American Sign Language 1 Skill-Building Lab (1)
ASL 2L American Sign Language 2 Skill-Building Lab (1)
ENGL 10A World Literature (to 1650) (3)
ENGL 10B World Literature (after 1650) (3)
ENGL 25 Linguistics (3)
HIST 35 History of Mexican Americans (3)
JAPN 19 Japanese Conversation 1 (2)
JAPN 20 Japanese Conversation 2 (2)
SPAN 19 Spanish Conversation and Culture I (3)
SPAN 20 Spanish Conversation and Culture II (3)

## Fall 2018

Who completed this form: Program Review Committee
Other factors for consideration: This review is completed by the PRC and sent to counselors and faculty for input. The Prior to Fall 2018 template is used for these kinds of programs.

## **TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE**

PRC Action: PRC recommends the program continue without qualification

## Summary Date: 08/28/2019

Summary of findings: The PRC recommends this program continue without qualification. The program has averaged 4.2 completers for year 2013/14 to year 2017/18. There are no "core courses;" students choose 18 units from a wide array of mostly language classes. Enrollments per section have shown a decrease of -19.21\% from 19.46 average enrollments in 2013/14 to 15.72 average enrollments for 2017/18. Overall census showed positive enrollment growth of $8.42 \%$ for the same time period increasing from 1460 annual enrollments to 1583, with ASL showing the largest gains. The five-year average success rate for all class options for this degree is $79.41 \%$ and above the Institution-Set Standard of $70 \%$. Retention rates are $87.81 \%$. Most SLO's have NOT been mapped to ISLO's, which serve as the program learning outcomes for the University and General Studies degrees.

The PRC commends the World Language faculty for maintaining strong retention and success rates and recognizes efforts being made to increase enrollments. The PRC recommends the following:

1. World Language faculty map ALL of the SLO's to ISLO's, which serve as the program learning outcomes for the University and General Studies degrees.
2. The program faculty continue to look for opportunities to increase student enrollments, such as the ASL outreach program to high schools, efforts to reach native speakers, greater alignment with CSUC program requirements, and other promotions.
World Language faculty consider adding CMST 20- Intercultural Communication because it provides an additional optional GE class taught in varied modalities such as online, hybrid, and ITV.

Summary review date: 08/28/2019
Date summary sent to program faculty and/or counselors: 09/03/2019
Program faculty response: 10.01.19 from David Cooper: The only comment I have at this point on the summary is that contrary to the PRC response, there is a core list of courses. The first list of course are all language courses, and students must choose a minimum of 10 units from that list, or they may choose all 18 from that list. (See attachment.) The second list are all language courses except for one, HIST 35. After choosing 10 or more units from the first list, they may choose enough from the second list for a total of 18.
I think we would be willing to change the names of the lists to "A" and "B" or "core" and "elective," if that will clarify the situation.

Another email from David Cooper on 10.15.19: Below are the three recommendations of the PRC and the responses to items 1 and 3 :

1. World Language faculty map ALL of the SLO's to ISLO's, which serve as the program learning outcomes for the University and General Studies degrees.
2. Full-time faculty in World Languages have begun planning for thorough mapping of SLOs to ISLOs and will be meeting soon to continue that project as well as to plan outcomes mapping for future degree programs and certificates in ASL and Spanish.
3. The program faculty continue to look for opportunities to increase student enrollments, such as the ASL outreach program to high schools, efforts to reach native speakers, greater alignment with CSUC program requirements, and other promotions.
4. World Language faculty consider adding CMST 20- Intercultural Communication because it provides an additional optional GE class taught in varied modalities such as online, hybrid, and ITV.
5. There are other courses closer to the goals of the World Languages emphasis (such as Linguistics) which are offered in an online format. And Spanish and Japanese faculty have submitted curriculum revision to allow for hybrid and ITV options for some courses. Alternative online activities can be effective for skilled and highly motivated students, but interaction with classmates is
important for the development of critical thinking skills as well as communicative competence and intercultural competence. That said, CMST 20 will be considered.
Counselor response: 09.03.19 Sue Loring: I'm neutral about the recommendation. Any student who qualifies for the University Studies - World Languages degree would also qualify for the University Studies - Language Arts degree. While some students might prefer to have a "World Languages" degree than a "Language Arts" degree, there is no other advantage, to my knowledge, of one degree over the other. Given that the college is trying to implement Guided Pathways and streamline (i.e. reduce) our degrees, this degree may not be a critical one to keep.

There are also a few problems with this degree. Students who complete all four semesters of Spanish, German of ASL satisfy its requirements, but students who complete four semesters of French do not because of the number of units in French 3 and 4. This may be irrelevant as French 3 and 4 haven't been taught for a while, but it seems inequitable. Perhaps a larger issue is that students who take all four semesters of Spanish, German or ASL at Shasta College meet the requirements for the degree, but students with the same level of proficiency who take only the last two or three semesters (because they qualify to start at a higher level) do not satisfy requirements for the degree, as they do not have the 18 units necessary in the emphasis.

Also, it has always seemed a little strange that students can choose a world literature or Mexican American literature class (written in English) or a Mexican American history class as part of the World Languages degree. I assume the reason is to allow students who come in with advanced standing in a language to meet the degree requirements without having to take a second language, but including classes that are not related to language seems odd for a language degree. If classes with a multicultural perspective are acceptable, then why not also include Engl 18 African American Literature, Hist 25 African American History, HIST 36 History of the Far East, HIST 2/3 World Civilization, etc?

From Stacey Bartlett to Sue Loring: As usual, you make good points. Perhaps we should differentiate this degree from Language Arts? And, the inclusion of other classes related to the culture and specificities of particular language users/holders is very helpful. The suggestion of intercultural communication was because it does address various cultural norms surrounding communication and thus, language. It was not meant to exclude others but it has broader implications (potentially).
09.04.19 Shaunna Rossman: All the points below are valid. In addition, for what it's worth, l've used the Language Arts option over the World Languages a few times because of the option to count Engl 1B or C as part of the major here (in addition to Gen ed A3). Helps out the French students too, if it were to be taught.

## COURSE STATISTICS

|  |  | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Name |  | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| ASL-1 | \# of Sections | 8 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 19 |
|  | Enrollment | 238 | 258 | 288 | 348 | 514 |
|  | FTES | 29.5 | 32.4 | 34.6 | 41.2 | 64.3 |
|  | FTEF | 2.14 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.67 | 2.67 |
|  | WSCH | 884 | 972 | 1,032 | 1,216 | 1,866 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 30 | 29 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 13.81 | 13.47 | 13.61 | 13.04 | 11.89 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 414 | 405 | 409 | 393 | 360 |
| ASL-2 | \# of Sections | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Enrollment | 100 | 70 | 89 | 118 | 99 |
|  | FTES | 12.1 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 15.1 | 12.9 |
|  | FTEF | 1.34 | 0.80 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.80 |
|  | WSCH | 364 | 256 | 345 | 446 | 380 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 20 | 23 | 18 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 9.10 | 10.67 | 10.25 | 12.50 | 11.00 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 273 | 320 | 307 | 375 | 330 |
| ASL-3 | \# of Sections |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Enrollment |  | 30 | 23 | 22 | 30 |
|  | FTES |  | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
|  | FTEF |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
|  | WSCH |  | 126 | 102 | 84 | 90 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section |  | 15 | 12 | 11 | 15 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF |  | 12.00 | 9.71 | 8.00 | 8.57 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF |  | 360 | 291 | 240 | 257 |
| ASL-4 | \# of Sections |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Enrollment |  | 23 | 19 | 17 | 21 |
|  | FTES |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.1 |
|  | FTEF |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
|  | WSCH |  | 90 | 90 | 54 | 122 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section |  | 12 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF |  | 8.57 | 8.57 | 5.14 | 5.14 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF |  | 257 | 257 | 154 | 160 |
| FREN-1 | \# of Sections | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Enrollment | 100 | 88 | 85 | 81 | 90 |
|  | FTES | 9.7 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 12.9 |
|  | FTEF | 0.67 | 1.33 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
|  | WSCH | 290 | 363 | 343 | 345 | 370 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 25 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 23 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 14.51 | 9.16 | 8.00 | 9.75 | 10.26 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 435 | 272 | 240 | 293 | 308 |
| FREN-2 | \# of Sections | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Enrollment | 35 | 38 | 34 | 29 | 33 |
|  | FTES | 3.3 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.3 |


|  | FTEF | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WSCH | 100 | 180 | 130 | 127 | 142 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 18 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 17 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 9.99 | 9.08 | 6.99 | 5.01 | 6.99 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 300 | 270 | 210 | 150 | 210 |
| JAPN-1 | \# of Sections | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Enrollment | 88 | 91 | 101 | 101 | 92 |
|  | FTES | 13.8 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 14.0 |
|  | FTEF | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
|  | WSCH | 415 | 400 | 430 | 455 | 420 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 23 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 10.37 | 10.01 | 10.75 | 11.37 | 10.50 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 311 | 300 | 323 | 341 | 315 |
| JAPN-2 | \# of Sections | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Enrollment | 35 | 24 | 28 | 41 | 17 |
|  | FTES | 5.7 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 2.8 |
|  | FTEF | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.33 |
|  | WSCH | 170 | 115 | 135 | 190 | 85 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 18 | 24 | 28 | 21 | 17 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 8.49 | 11.49 | 13.50 | 9.50 | 8.49 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 255 | 345 | 405 | 285 | 255 |
| JAPN-3 | \# of Sections |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Enrollment |  | 15 |  | 17 | 13 |
|  | FTES |  | 2.2 |  | 2.3 | 2.2 |
|  | FTEF |  | 0.33 |  | 0.33 | 0.33 |
|  | WSCH |  | 65 |  | 70 | 65 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section |  | 15 |  | 17 | 13 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF |  | 6.51 |  | 6.99 | 6.51 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF |  | 195 |  | 210 | 195 |
| SPAN-1 | \# of Sections | 22 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 |
|  | Enrollment | 515 | 467 | 461 | 396 | 361 |
|  | FTES | 74.5 | 68.1 | 67.5 | 55.8 | 51.4 |
|  | FTEF | 6.33 | 5.66 | 5.66 | 4.99 | 5.00 |
|  | WSCH | 2,234 | 2,043 | 2,026 | 1,673 | 1,540 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 23 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 11.27 | 11.53 | 10.91 | 10.18 | 9.64 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 339 | 347 | 328 | 307 | 290 |
| SPAN-2 | \# of Sections | 3 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
|  | Enrollment | 78 | 86 | 151 | 91 | 77 |
|  | FTES | 10.8 | 12.2 | 23.1 | 15.0 | 11.3 |
|  | FTEF | 1.00 | 1.33 | 2.00 | 1.95 | 1.26 |
|  | WSCH | 325 | 365 | 690 | 450 | 340 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 26 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 13 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 10.84 | 9.13 | 8.83 | 6.82 | 7.49 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 325 | 274 | 265 | 204 | 225 |
| SPAN-3 | \# of Sections | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Enrollment | 50 | 18 | 17 | 25 | 13 |


|  | FTES | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTEF | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.27 |
|  | WSCH | 147 | 48 | 42 | 57 | 44 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 25 | 18 | 17 | 25 | 13 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 12.25 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 9.50 | 5.51 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 368 | 240 | 210 | 285 | 165 |
| SPAN-4 | \# of Sections | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Enrollment | 22 |  | 12 |  | 5 |
|  | FTES | 2.0 |  | 1.1 |  | 0.7 |
|  | FTEF | 0.20 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.27 |
|  | WSCH | 60 |  | 33 |  | 20 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 22 |  | 12 |  | 5 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 10.00 |  | 5.50 |  | 2.51 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 300 |  | 165 |  | 75 |
| Grand Total | \# of Sections | 53 | 53 | 62 | 64 | 66 |
|  | Enrollment | 1,106 | 1,057 | 1,151 | 1,111 | 1,234 |
|  | FTES | 166.3 | 167.6 | 180.8 | 173.5 | 186.4 |
|  | FTEF | 14.39 | 15.09 | 14.90 | 14.91 | 13.96 |
|  | WSCH | 4,989 | 5,023 | 5,398 | 5,167 | 5,484 |
|  | Avg Enrl/Section | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 19 |
|  | Avg FTES FTEF | 11.37 | 10.98 | 10.67 | 10.26 | 9.62 |
|  | Avg WSCH FTEF | 342 | 330 | 321 | 309 | 290 |

