

Academic Senate - AGENDA

Monday, September 26, 2016

3:00 – 5:00 p.m.

Room 1108

1. Call to order
2. Approval of Minutes (attachment) September 12, 2016
3. Opportunity for Public Comment
 - a. This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons desiring to address the Executive Committee on any matter not on the agenda. No action will be taken. Speakers are limited to three minutes.
4. Reports
 - a. Report from the Academic Senate President (Cathy Anderson)
 - b. Report from Instructional Council (Susan Meacham)
 - c. Report from College Council (Peggy Moore or Cathy Anderson)
 - d. Reports from Standing Subcommittees (Reports are given by the Co-Chair or delegate as needed)
 - Curriculum Council (Co-Chair: Ron Marley), Scholastic Standards Committee (Co-Chair: Don Cingrani), Faculty Excellence Committee (Co-Chair: Cliff Gottlieb), SLO Committee (Co-Chair: Sara McCurry), General Education Committee (Co-Chair: Carolyn Borg)
 - e. Reports from Joint Committees (Reports are given by the Co-Chair or delegate as needed)
 - Distance Education Committee (Co-Chair: Anthony Eckhart), Student Success Committee (Co-Chair: Teresa Doyle)
 - f. Reports from Affiliate Committees (Reports are given by the Co-Chair or delegate as needed)
 - Textbook Committee (Co-Chair: Heather Wylie)
5. Informational items
 - a.
6. Discussion/Action items
 - a. BP 5012, AP 5012 – 1st Reading – Frank Nigro (3 attachments)
BP 5012 and AP 5012 concern International Students.
 - b. Program Review Committee Information – Frank Nigro (2 attachments)
Program Review Schedule, draft bylaws, webpage, etc.
 - c. Policy for Course Waivers and Substitutions – review and introduction – Don Cingrani (2 attachments)
This recommendation comes from an ad hoc committee formed by the Academic Senate to work on this one issue.
 - d. New/Reactivated Courses Approved by the Curriculum Committee (1 attachment)
Bylaws Section 2D: The Academic Senate, acting through the Executive Committee, relies primarily upon the recommendations of its Standing Subcommittees. The Academic Senate will ordinarily accept the subcommittee's recommendations, except when exceptional circumstances and compelling reasons exist. If such circumstances occur, the Executive Committee may ask a Standing Subcommittee to reconsider an issue, or the Executive Committee itself may determine an appropriate course of action.

- e. Peer Evaluators (1 attachment)

Proposal: Karen Henderson will be the peer evaluator for David Henderson

Proposal: Rick Osbrink will be the Peer Evaluator for Eric Marshall (see attachment)

Article 5.10.1.1 of our faculty contract gives the Academic Senate responsibility for approving all peer evaluators for part time faculty.

- f. Bylaws Revision – Susan Meacham (2 attachments)

*From Article VIII of our bylaws: **Section 2 – Amendments to the By-laws** A. Proposals to amend the By-laws may be made by any member of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate. B. The proposal will appear on an Academic Senate agenda and the Executive Committee must pass a motion to consider the proposal. C. If the motion to consider is passed, the proposed changes to the By-laws will be made available to all faculty. D. Once the proposal has been shared with the faculty, it will appear on an Academic Senate agenda a minimum of three times for discussion before a vote is taken by the Executive Committee. E. The proposal shall be ratified with a two thirds approval vote of the Executive Committee.*

- g. Delegate (no attachment)

From Article III of the Academic Senate by-laws: Section 2 - The Delegate of the Academic Senate shall be appointed by the Executive Committee from the membership of the full time faculty. Section 3 - The Delegate shall serve for a term of one semester.

- 7. Other

- 8. Adjournment

- 9. Next meeting: Monday, September 12 at 3:00 p.m.