Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Self-Study Master Rubric

Thank you for participating in this valuable Program Review Process. Please use this rubric as a communication tool between the teams.

GOLD team members – Use this rubric as a guide to complete the Self-Study review, the goal of each section's items is to qualify for the "meets" or "exceeds." If an item does not meet or needs improvement, be forthright and explain why. If an item is not applicable to your program, please state that clearly for each item as Not Applicable (N/A).

Self-Study draft due to Silver Team Captain: 2nd week of November

SILVER AND GREEN TEAMS ONLY HOW DO I USE THE RUBRIC? Each row must have a rubric category box checked or completed box checked, whichever is applicable. Each section must have comments on all "exceeds" "doesn't meet/needs improvement" items that are checked. The rubrics sections/colors/items directly correspond with the Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Self-Study. The Self-Study also contains more detailed instructions to reference.

SILVER team members – The Silver Team Captain (most likely a member of PRC, SLOC, or Research) will be turning in ONE completed rubric to the GOLD Team Captain to represent the entire Silver Team's feedback. How to accomplish the work: 1) The Silver Team members may choose to collaborate and complete the rubric together. 2) Each Silver Team member can complete it individually, and then discuss and compare scores, collaborating on final scores. 3) The Silver Team could also divide the sections among their team members and allow the Silver Team Captain to collate and complete the final scores for final review, discussion, and recommendations.

Silver Team Captain – Completed Rubric due to Gold Team Captain: 2nd week of December

GREEN team members = The Green Team Captain will be working directly with the Program Review Committee (PRC) turning in ONE completed rubric with recommended status (without qualifications, with qualifications, or discontinuance) to the PRC co-chairs Crystal Hilton and Stacey Bartlett. How to accomplish the work: 1) The Green Team members may choose to collaborate and complete the rubric together. 2) Each Green Team member can complete it individually, and then discuss and compare scores, collaborating on final scores. 3) The Green Team could also divide the sections among their team members and allow the Green Team Captain to collate and complete the final scores for final review, discussion, recommendations, and program disposition.

Green Team Captain – Completed Rubric due to PRC: Last week of March

All questions, concerns, or help needed – Please email Crystal Hilton, <u>chilton@shastacollege.edu</u> or her cell phone is 530-227-7281.



Crystal Hilton, chilton@shastacollege.edu and Stacey Bartlett, sbartlett@shastacollege.edu

Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Self-Study – Master Rubric

Section 1 – Background Information

CRITERIA		COMMENTS
Term and year of last CIPR	Check if complete.Not Applicable	Fall 2019 (Comments in green were added by the green team)
1. Changes since last CIPR: A. Programs B. Curriculum C. Facilities D. Technologies E. Staffing F. Other changes	Check if complete.	Online offerings have increased and are OEI certified. One new class (World Theatre History). One new part time instructor
2. Summary of Annual Plans since last CIPR: A. Assessment processes and improvements of student learning B. Student enrollment, success, retention, and awards C. Diversity, equity, inclusion and accessibility considerations, and outcomes D. Other goals or concerns	Check if complete.	Congratulations on student success rate at 85% and retention over 6 year period of 90%
3. Resources received or requested A. Resources received B. Resources requested and not received	Check if complete.	Resources requested, received, and not received, and the impact on the students and on the program, are clearly explained.
Year of current review	Check if complete.	
List Gold Team Members and Silver and Green Team Captains	Check if complete.	
Attached Curriculum Map	Check if complete.	
Attached Program Map	Check if complete.	

Section 2 – Mission and Learning Outcomes

CRITERIA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
1. Alignment with Mission:	Program description	Program description	Program description	Good description providing
(Accreditation Standard 1.1, 1.5,	is missing or does	aligns with the Shasta	uses language and	alignment examples.
2.9).	not align with the	College Mission.	examples that directly	
	Shasta College	Includes discussion of	identifies how specific	
	Mission.	some of the program's	elements of the Shasta	
		successes and benefits	College Missions is	
		to the students and/or	met.	
		community.		
2. Current or recent challenges	Missing or	Description is	• Description is	Very thorough narrative.
that hinder students from	incomplete, no	somewhat thorough	thorough, relevant and	Challenges such as
reaching their goals	relevant description	and relevant.	uses examples and/or	inadequate performance and
	provided.		evidence.	practice space and the lack
				of dedicated laptops,
				software, and other
				technology are noted, and
				there is a plan to survey
				students in future semesters
				to collect data.
3. PLOs and Narratives	Check if complete.			Updated data was provided
(Accreditation 2.9)				late.
4. CSU/UC Pathway:	Missing or not	 Connections have been 	Description includes	Program map reflects
A. Connect and align with	discussed.	made to connect and	curricular alignment,	transfer pathway.
transfer institutions		align with key transfer	student transfer	
		institutions.	support, program	
			updates, etc.	
B. Alignment of program	Missing or not	 Brief explanation of 	Explanation is	Gold team added
outcomes with transfer	discussed.	alignment or rationale	thorough.	information after silver
institutions		for non-alignment.		review
Program Map Attached	Check if complete.			
(Accreditation Standard 2.5)				

5. Review program map to ensure alignment with 4-year transfer	~	Check if complete.	
partners			
6. Review program map to ensure adequate course scheduling		Check if complete.	
7. Identify full-time student and part-time student options	~	Check if complete.	
8. CTE only: Specify Labor Market Demand (Accreditation Standard 2.2 and Title 5)		Check if complete.	N/A

Section 3 – Instructional Practices

CRITERIA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
1. Learning beyond the classroom	Not currently doing practice or	• Explained general learning outside	 Explanations and examples include 	Funding for learning beyond the classroom has been
	incomplete explanation.	classroom with example(s).	direct programmatic experiences.	requested but not granted.
2. Collaboration between this program and other areas and student services	Not currently doing practice or incomplete explanation.	Explained general collaboration with other areas and student services with example(s).	• Explanations and examples include direct programmatic collaborations with other areas and student services.	Excellent concrete examples of collaboration across the institution. There is potential to explore more collaboration with other areas.
3. Institutional partnerships with other schools, businesses, or organizations	Not currently doing practice or incomplete explanation.	Explains one or more outside partnerships.	Explains one or more outside partnerships with examples and clear benefits to students.	Excellent concrete examples of collaboration across the community.
4. Efforts to promote engagement among part-time faculty and classified staff	Not currently doing practice or incomplete explanation.	• Explains one or more ways the program is doing this.	Explains one or more ways the program is doing this with examples and clear benefits to the program.	The narrative is silent on incorporating classified staff. The Gold team added classified staff involvement activities and expressed thankfulness for the support of the college classified staff

5. Processes and strategies used to establish and maintain academic standards and consistency across sections of the same course taught in varied modalities and by different instructors	Not currently engaged in any practices or incomplete explanation.	 Explains one or more ways the program is maintaining academic standards and consistency. 	Explains one or more ways the program is maintaining academic standards and consistency with examples and clear benefits to students.	The Gold team added an explanation of the process used to ensure consistency across courses
6. Faculty maintain currency in their disciplines and with instructional technologies. With descriptions of how they ensure regular and substantive interaction with students in their online classes (ACCJC Distance Education Policy, Accreditation Standard 2.6)	Not currently engaged in any practices or incomplete explanation.	Explains one or more ways the program is doing this.	Explains one or more ways the program is doing this with examples and clear benefits to students	Faculty attend conferences and performances and provide opportunities for students to attend events such as the Kennedy Center Theatre Festival. Faculty ensure that courses maintain regular and substantive engagement with students

Section 4 – Facilities, Equipment, and Technology

CRITERIA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
1. Facilities/Equipment needs or updates	Missing or not discussed.	Facilities/equipment updates and needs are explained.	• Facilities/equipment updates and needs are explained including impact to student learning, program outcomes, or program growth.	Strong and concise narrative on the needs as they impact students and instruction. Details are provided on the need for a Black Box Theatre and equipment such as sound system upgrades Impact on students is explained in each case.
2. Technology support	Missing or not discussed.	Explained how technology is used and discussion of adequacy of technology for instructional purposes.	 Explained how technology is used and discussion of adequacy of technology for instructional purposes including outcomes and relevant examples. 	Valuable narrative on technology being woven into instruction. Details are provided on the need for technological resources such as sound system upgrades, scenic projection capabilities, and QLab software with a

		dedicated Macintosh laptop.
		Impact on students is
		explained in each case.

Section 5 – Program Data Analysis (Accreditation Standard 1.3

CRITERIA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
Headcount and Enrollment	Missing or unclear	Discussed prompt with	Thorough detailed	Written narrative expresses
1. Headcount	narrative.	data table to support.	discussion of prompt	headcount, consider adding
			with data support.	visual data to the CIPR.
2. Equity: Headcount distribution	Missing or unclear	• Discussed prompt with	• Thorough detailed	This is a multipart question;
	narrative.	data to support. Some	discussion of prompt	narrative does not include
		discussion about	with data support.	strategies for moving the
		recruitment strategies.	Clearly described	needle towards including
			proposed actions	underrepresented groups.
			within department	
			control with timelines	Gold team added one
			and goals.	strategy to make the theatre
				student population more
				equitable
<i>3. Enrollment</i>	Missing or unclear	 Discussed prompt with 	Thorough detailed	This is a multipart question,
	narrative.	data table to support.	discussion of prompt	did not address the "what do
			with data support.	you believe is causing these
				changes" piece of the
				question.
				Gold team added a possible
				explanation for the
				enrollment drop in 2019 and
				then a rebound in 23-24
4. Factors impacting low and	Missing or unclear	 Discussed prompt with 	Thorough detailed	
highly enrolled sections	narrative.	data table to support.	discussion of prompt	
			with data support.	
5. Factors impacting program	Missing or unclear	Discussed prompt with	Thorough detailed	
enrollment	narrative.	data table to support.	discussion of prompt	
			with data support.	

Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Self-Study

CTE – program outcomes to Institutional-set standards for job placement, & hiring percentages	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Discussed prompt with data table to support.	•	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support.	N/A
Retention and Success Rates 6. Retention Rate A. 5-year average retention rate		Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Summary and analysis provided	•	Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Average not provided. Looks like it is 93% Gold team added retention rates to the CIPR
<i>B. Retention rates above the college average</i>	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Summary and analysis provided	•	Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Consider calling out which courses specifically have a retention rate above the college average. Gold team added specific courses that have a retention rate above the college average
C. Retention rates below the college average	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Summary and analysis provided		Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Good narrative on courses that have seen retention rates below college average. However, missing narrative on what strategies to employ to improve retention rates. Gold team provided 3 ideas to address the below average retention rates.
7. Success Rate A. 5-year average success rate	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Summary and analysis provided	•	Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Missing small piece about success trends increasing, decreasing or being steady. Gold team discussed success trend causes and solutions
<i>B. Success rates at or above the</i> <i>Institutional Set Standard</i>	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Summary and analysis provided		Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Consider calling out specific courses. For example: What courses have 100% success rates? Gold team listed specific courses with success rates at or above the institutional set

							standard
C. Success rates below the Institutional Set Standard	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	•	Summary and analysis provided	0	Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the data.	Great and thought-out strategies provided.
8. Equity: DI groups for success and retention rates	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Proposed actions are informed with data, within departmental control, have identified outcomes, and include some goals.		Thorough discussion. Clear and unique data informed proposed actions, within departmental control, have measurable outcomes, and include short-term timelines and aspirational goals.	No narrative on strategies for supporting group flagged for retention. Gold team pointed out that the sample size for this metric was small and the research department recommends caution about reacting. Gold team does list 3 strategies to address equity
<i>9. Factors that impact success and retention rates</i>	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Discussed factors impacting student success and retention.	•	Thorough and insightful discussion of factors impacting student success and retention.	Elaborate on these factors and how it ties to success rates.
10. Program changes since the last CIPR to improve success and retention rates	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Discussed changes made to improve success and retention rates.		Thorough discussion of changes made to improve success and retention rates with examples and reflection on how they will adjust strategies after reviewing the data.	
11. Additional resources	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	0	Provided a list of resources that would help students be more successful in the courses.	•	Provided a list of resources explaining how it would help students be more successful.	

Program Completion (Accreditation Standard 1.3) 12. Number of degrees and/or certificates awarded	Missing or unclear narrative.	• Discussed some observations about the awards conferred.	Thorough discussion of changes in the number of awards conferred with insights to explain changes seen.	
13. Equity: Award distribution	Missing or unclear narrative.	 Discussed prompt with data to support. Some discussion about strategies to improve equity. 	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support. Clearly described proposed actions within department control with timelines and goals.	It may still be valuable to consider how the award distribution might be improved. Consider how things like improved retention for DI group flagged, might cascade into improved award distribution. The Gold team again suggests the sample size for equity in award distribution is very small. The Gold team does offer 6 strategies to address award equity
14. Median time to degree (Accreditation Standard 2.5)	Missing or unclear narrative.	 Discussed prompt with data to support. Some reflection offered about time to degree. Some actions have been identified that could help improve time to degree. 	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support. Clearly described proposed actions within department control with timelines and goals.	Consider including numerical data, what is the Shasta College average TTD and what is Theatres?
15. Units attempted to complete program (Accreditation Standard 2.5)	Missing or unclear narrative.	Discussed prompt with data to support. Some reflection offered about units accumulated. Some actions have been identified that could help improve the number of units accumulated.	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support. Clearly described proposed actions within department control with timelines and goals.	

Section 6 - Curriculum

CRITERIA	NA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
Review Prerequisites 1. Prerequisites last reviewed and assessed	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	Summary and analysis provided.	Thorough and detailed analysis provided to explain the process and any data.	
2. Prerequisites preparing students for subsequent courses	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	 Summary and analysis provided. 	Thorough and detailed analysis provided.	Consider taking this as another chance to showcase THTR 38's excellent retention rates. This data might point to your conclusion that THTR 34 is good prep.
3. Challenges to offering key courses	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	Some challenges are identified. A list of courses for possible sunset is provided.	Thorough and detailed explanation of challenges provided with a list of possible sunset courses.	
4. Course title changes and new courses being considered. PLO Alignment Maps.	•	Missing or unclear narrative.	 Identified changes to titles and suggested possible new courses. PLO alignment map provided. 	Thorough and detailed list of changes with explanations for the justification of proposed new courses. PLO alignment map provided.	
Program Design: 5. Unnecessary and/or bottleneck courses		Missing or unclear narrative.	 Identified possible bottlenecks or unnecessary courses. 	 Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support. Clearly identified bottlenecks or unnecessary courses with proposed 	

			actions, timelines, and	
			goals.	
6. Stacked certificates/awards	Missing or	Some discussion	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt	
	unclear	was provided	discussion of prompt	
	narrative.	about stacked	with data support.	
		awards.	Clearly identified	
			possible options for	
			stacked awards with	
			proposed actions,	
			timelines, and goals.	
7. Course sequencing	Missing or	Some discussion	Thorough detailed	Consider adding some
	unclear	was provided	discussion of prompt	discussion on how this
	narrative.	about effective	with data support.	positive conclusion was
		course		reached.
		sequencing.		Gold team offers a limited
				discussion on course
				sequencing and possible
				changes

Section 7 – Summary and Future Plans

CRITERIA	DOESN'T MEET	MEETS	EXCEEDS	COMMENTS
1. Changes or improvements	Missing or unclear	 Based on analysis from 	Thorough analysis with	Feels like these should be
needed	explanation.	this report; changes or	detailed changes or	under 7.3, this question
		improvements for	improvements for the	seems to be more from an
		program or curriculum	program or	instructional/programmatic
		clearly stated.	curriculum. Goals	lens
		Identified major goals	identified have	Gold team outline challenges
		for the next six years	strategies to address	and needs to improve the
		and strategy for	the goal including	Theatre program that include
		goal(s).	timeline, budgetary	staffing needs, inventory
			requirements, and	support and a vision for
			persons responsible.	creating a separate space to
			Proposed actions are	hold more intimate theatre
			informed by data, have	productions
			measurable outcomes.	

2. Proposed Actions	Missing or unclear narrative.	• Provided a list of actions needed with minimal explanation of timelines or goals.	Thorough detailed discussion of prompt with data support. Clearly described proposed actions within department control with timelines	Should list out actions needed Gold team listed actions to undertake and requests for staffing, building and technical support
			and goals.	
3. Resource Requests for Annual Plan	Missing or unclear explanation.	• Clear resource request(s) stated.	Thorough description of planned resources that will be requested.	
4. Other information/reflections	Optional. No action			
5. Self-Study Proud Of Item!	Check if complete			
Additional Notes, Feedback, Com	nents			