

**Academic Senate
Academic Senate**

Executive Committee members present					
x	Cathy Anderson	x	Terry Bailey		Megan McQueen
x	Craig Thompson/Sonny Stupek		Ramon Tello		Rob McCandless
x	Leo Fong	x	Toby Bodeen		Karen Henderson
	Alan Spivey		Jason Kelly	x	Leimone Waite
x	Shelly Presnell		Iraja Siva-Das	x	Susan Meacham
	Eva Jimenez		Andrea Williams	x	Ray Nicholas
x	Jennifer McCandless		Terrie Snow	x	John Whitmer
x	Brad Peters		Don Cingrani (N/V)		Maureen Stephens
	Ron Marley (N/V)		Lois Cushnie (N/V)		William Cochran (N/V)
Other faculty present					
x	Lenore Frigo				
Guests					
x	Doug Meline	x	Lucha Ortega		

Monday, April 26, 2010
3:00-4:45 p.m.
Room 1107

Agenda:

1. Call to Order at 3:00 p.m.
2. Approval of Minutes for 04/12/10. Moved by Susan and Seconded by Terry. Motion passed with minor changes.
3. Opportunity for Public Comment- None
4. Reports

- a. Report from the Senate President - Cathy Anderson reported that she had met with Gary Lewis to talk about elimination of the research position. She was very frustrated with the outcome of this meeting and felt that further action needed to be taken as this position is very important to the reporting of SLOs and other reports required of faculty.
 - b. Report from Instructional Council (Susan Meacham)-None
 - c. Report from SLO Committee (Shelly Presnell)-Report in part 5.d.
 - d. Report from College Council (Maureen Stephens)-None
 - e. Report from Curriculum Council (as needed) (Ron Marley)-None
 - f. Report from Matriculation Committee (as needed) (Lois Cushnie) -None
 - g. Report from the Scholastic Standards Committee (as needed) (Don Cingrani)-None
 - h. Other Reports (as needed)-None
5. Discussion/Action Items
- a. BP 3900: **This is a first reading-** This BP is related to a student's rights to free speech. A few edits were suggested.
AP 3900: **This is a first reading** – A couple of words were deleted as they were redundant.
AP 5570: **This is a first reading** – Cathy commented that the title of the AP is confusing, should be Non-Solicitation. Lucha said she would look at title.
 - b. Confirmation of Tenure Review Committees 2010-2011: Jennifer moved to approve the changes to James Crooks committee, seconded by Toby. Motion passed. Jennifer moved to approve the new committee for AND, seconded by Toby. Motion passed.
 - c. Confirmation of Peer Evaluators Committees 2010-2011: Moved to approved by Susan and Seconded by Brad.
Terry moved that all tenured faculty be approved to be peer evaluators for the 2010-2011 year, seconded by Toby. Motion passed.
 - d. Resolution Regarding the Research Position. (1 attachment) - Shelly Presnell led discussion on wording of a resolution to request a statistician / researcher. It was also mentioned that a consultant has been hired to fill the position for a short time. Shelly will email out draft of resolution and we can send any comments to Shelly.
 - e. Cathy checked the Senate roster for 2009/10 and found that Eva Jimenez is listed as the voting representative for EWD since EWD is grouped with Business and Technology. Cathy pointed out that Megan McQueen is affiliated with EWD, and as an at-large representative she could represent EWD, and she should be encouraged to run again for an at-large position next year. Discussion of the list of concerns sent in by Lenore continued. John Whitmer suggested that EWD courses should be listed in the Shasta College catalog so as to avoid a completely separate and unique list of course offerings. Cathy added that the EWD catalog could be attached or linked to the regular catalog. John emphasized that EWD should follow the same rules and policies that govern regular for-credit courses, otherwise it can be seen as circumventing the

Ed.Code. Cathy pointed out that we can suggest this to EWD, but the Senate cannot require EWD to do so. John also voiced concern about whether qualified instructors were teaching EWD courses, and Brad Peters asked about any instructor evaluations being used because Article 5 of the District contract does not include EWD instructors. Ray Nicholas stated that as soon as credit is offered for a course, qualified instructors must be involved. Cathy wants to continue the discussion next meeting by having Senate members submit a list of their own concerns.

6. Susan Meacham had two reminders: First, the deadline for the Excellent Educator Award is the end of this week. So far there has been only one nominee, and the supporting documentation for that person is so massive it will be available at the reserve desk of the library rather than photocopied for each Senate member. Second, nominations for Senate Executive Committee officers are needed for the next meeting to prepare for the upcoming elections. The nominees for at-large representatives will be handled separately through an on-line survey.
6. Other-none
7. Adjournment 4:30 p.m.
8. Next meeting: **Monday, May 10, 2010.**