# Shasta College 

## BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETING

April 5, 2017
2149
2:00-3:30 p.m.
MINUTES
CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m. by Committee Chair, Joe Bissell. Roll Call:

| $x$ | Jill Ault | x | Kendall Crenshaw | x | Tom Masulis | Susan Schroth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x$ | Joe Bissell | $x$ | Sara Holmes |  | Morris Rodrigue | Student Rep |
| $x$ | Don Cingrani | $x$ | Lynda Little | $x$ | Kathy Royce |  |

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: $3 / 15 / 2017$ Cingrani/Royce. Discussion- add the word "it" after at in the first paragraph after "bulk of income..." and on page 2, change 'compensational' to 'computational' Motion passed noting corrections, with two abstentions (Little and Crenshaw).

## REPORTS: None

## DISCUSSION/ACTION

## 1) 20174-18 Unrestricted General Fund preliminary budget numbers (Handout)

Joe said the list of assumptions that are put in the budget document will leave us with a deficit. When the May revise comes out it will alter the numbers in the tentative budget. Don said that having the list of all the assumptions in one place makes the budget more understandable. Joe said on the third page under other outgo, we are using the word savings, and that may need to be changed because this is the area where we put in the $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$, which historically is not an unreasonable number because we are using an average number, and it doesn't take too many position to confirm this realistic $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ in savings.

Joe said in the 2017-18 proposed budget we have a purposeful spend down of the fund balance from the 1 -time (actually 2 year) raises. A question was raised concerning money being put into the OPEB that we may not need to. Jill said there is $\$ 2.6 \mathrm{M}$ on that line, but that is dollars we pay out to retirees, so we technically haven't put dollars into the trust. Don asked if we don't put money into the trust, will it put us behind. Jill said we are ahead enough now, but she is not comfortable to say we are 'far enough' ahead. Joe said, at this time we are ahead, but that can change. There will be another actuarial study, and then we will know more.

Many departments appear to have small contingencies built into their budgets. We could remove all department contingencies and then set up a central district contingency fund that would likely be less. This idea was presented to Cabinet and it was felt this would not be well received and could appear as though the District was micromanaging. The Budget Committee agreed.

Discussion ensued regarding the addition of line in the budget (currently suggest $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) to the estimated budgeted expenses that were not probable to materialize because of unplanned position vacancies. The adjustment is intended to project a more accurate ending fund balance. Don said he has a concern with using the word 'reserve' for contingency because it is misunderstood, and suggested changing it to
projected budget savings or hopeful budget savings. Jill said the word comes from the budgeting manual. Don suggested moving the line up to show the connection to the savings, and adding a title such as projected or estimated number. Kathy said it could further be explained in the assumptions. Tom said there could be further explanation by stating that this is an estimated amount based on past trends related to vacant positions. Jill said we budget as though people that are here $100 \%$ of the time, and that's not realistic because there are always vacancies.

Sara said it is important to be crystal clear, but the committee needs to be aware of the message that this could send. If it is communicated as goals of the districts, and since there has been savings each year in operational costs, that it should also be included, so that there is not just a focus on salaries and benefits for savings. Tom said the budget should come with a narrative. Sara said there will be some people who interpret it negatively regardless of how realistic or transparent it is presented.

Joe said the most important element is to be proactive about how this change will be received. Historically we know that positions are not filled $100 \%$ of the time, and that is where the savings each year comes from, but also comes from over budgeting and having excess in some of the operational accounts. This will take more work, and should include campus wide discussion about the budget, and how the goal for the district is to become more accurate and realistic with the budget projections each year.

## 2) Transition from conservative budget to realistic budget - How to inform campus

Joe said the idea is to get to a point where we transition from conservative budgeting to realistic budgeting, and to also use correct and understandable terminology when releasing the budget information to the campus community. As a committee it is understood that a budget is our best guess with rational and reason behind it. All input is welcome in looking for a long term solution.

Joe said how we communicate will be the key to transitioning from conservative to realistic budgeting. Should the committee do a write up, have a discussion topic, share with the unions, Board of Trustees and other campus committees? The idea is to come up with the concept, and then communicate that concept out by explaining the change in philosophy and how the budget committee came to a realistic budgeting method. The new approach to budgeting could be conveyed via email and in person. It was agreed that Joe would come up with some wording and then send it out to the group for feedback. This is a work in progress.
Suggested language included:

- Attrition
- Projected
- Estimated
- Put footnotes on the last page of the tentative budget document
- Incidental staffing gaps
- Potential budget savings


## 3) Accreditation Standard IIID: Financial Resources Draft

Joe announced that he has the link to the draft for Standard IIID-Financial Resources, and he encouraged all to read and send any comments back to him via email. Joe believes it is well written and is a good document, and he didn't see any red flags. Sherry will send the link out to the committee after the meeting today.

## OTHER / ANNOUNCEMENTS

Joe shared that he is anxious to see P 2 , because it will determine the amount of money received through June $30^{\text {th }}$. P1 reported 7270 FTES. This is back to the original cap, but we are hoping for 7342 FTES and that would give us our maximum in growth dollars. The preliminary budget currently is built on the 7270 number.

## ADJOURNMENT: 3:25 p.m.

## NEXT MEETING DATE: 4/19/17

Recorded by:
Sherry Nicholas
Executive Assistant
Administrative Services

# Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District 2017-2018 <br> Tentative Budget <br> General Fund - Unrestricted 

Fund 11
Beginning Fund Balance
Estimated Beginning Balance $\mathbf{7 / 1 / 1 7}$
INCOME
Federal Income

| 2016-2017 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | Budget Change <br> Final BudgetEstimated <br> Actuals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Tentative |
| :---: |
| Budget |$\quad$| Adopted Tentative |
| :---: |
| $17 / 18$ |

\$ 10,413,391 \$ 10,425,389
\$ 10,395,709

| \$ | 86,000 | \$ | 86,000 | \$ | $88,000$ | \$ | 2,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 147,685 |  | 129,730 |  | 135,627 |  | $(12,058)$ |
| \$ | 233,685 | \$ | 215,730 | \$ | 223,627 | \$ | $(10,058)$ |
| \$ | 15,252,019 | \$ | 16,220,056 | \$ | 16,025,906 | \$ | 773,887 |
|  | 5,824,286 |  | 5,824,286 |  | 5,824,286 |  | - |
|  | 99,470 |  | 99,470 |  | 99,470 |  | - |
|  | 173,366 |  | 173,366 |  | 90,000 |  | $(83,366)$ |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | - |
|  | 226,400 |  | 226,400 |  | 226,600 |  | 200 |
|  | 102,000 |  | 102,000 |  | 102,600 |  | 600 |
|  | 908,000 |  | 908,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 92,000 |
|  | 820,000 |  | 800,000 |  | 200,000 |  | $(620,000)$ |
|  | 510,000 |  | 510,000 |  | 414,113 |  | $(95,887)$ |
| \$ | 24,098,626 | \$ | 25,039,753 | \$ | 24,159,150 | \$ | 60,524 |


| \$ | 15,937,009 | \$ | 15,763,968 | \$ | 16,448,100 | \$ | 511,091 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | - |  | $(20,000)$ |
|  | 94,230 |  | 94,230 |  | 100,500 |  | 6,270 |
|  | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | - |
|  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 51,500 |  | 1,500 |
|  | 160,908 |  | 160,908 |  | 120,000 |  | $(40,908)$ |
|  | 2,120,700 |  | 2,120,700 |  | 2,135,700 |  | 15,000 |
|  | 700,000 |  | 700,000 |  | 615,000 |  | $(85,000)$ |
|  | 113,555 |  | 113,250 |  | 119,370 |  | 5,815 |
|  | 107,000 |  | 107,000 |  | 167,776 |  | 60,776 |
| \$ | 19,463,402 | \$ | 19,290,056 | \$ | 19,917,946 |  | 454,5 |


| $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 7,500 |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | - |  |
| $\$$ | 7,500 | $\$$ | 7,500 | $\$$ | 7,500 | $\$$ |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ 43,803,213 & \$ 44,553,039 & \$ 44,308,223 & \$ & 505,010\end{array}$

# Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District 2017-2018 <br> Tentative Budget <br> General Fund - Unrestricted 

Fund 11

| 2016-2017 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | Budget Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Final Budget | Estimated <br> Actuals | Tentative <br> Budget | Adopted 16/17 <br> to Tentative <br> $17 / 18$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## EXPENDITURES

Academic Salaries
Instructional Contract
Non Instructional Contract
Academic Administrators
Instructional Hourly
Non Instructional Hourly
Total Academic Salaries

Classified Salaries
Non Instructional Contract
Instructional Aides Contract
Classified Management/Supervisory/Confidential
Non Instructional Hourly
Instructional Aides Hourly
Student Hourly
Total Classified Salaries

Employee Benefits
STRS - State Teachers Retirement
PERS - Public Employees Retirement
Social Security \& Medicare
Medical/Dental/Vision/Life Insurance
Unemployment Insurance
Workers Compensation Insurance
Retirees Health Benefits
Total Employee Benefits

Supplies
Instructional
Non-Instructional
Total Supplies

Other Operating Expenses
Dues and Memberships
Insurance
Legal and Professional Services
Election
Postage
Staff Development, Travel, and Conference
Building and Equipment Rental/Leases
Personal/Consultant Services
Repairs

| \$ | 9,302,909 | \$ | 9,302,909 | \$ | 10,110,280 | \$ | 807,371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,087,044 |  | 1,087,044 |  | 883,041 |  | $(204,003)$ |
|  | 2,105,112 |  | 2,105,112 |  | 2,111,941 |  | 6,829 |
|  | 4,409,549 |  | 4,409,549 |  | 4,306,630 |  | $(102,919)$ |
|  | 203,272 |  | 203,272 |  | 174,608 |  | $(28,664)$ |
| \$ | 17,107,886 | \$ | 17,107,886 | \$ | 17,586,500 | \$ | 478,614 |
| \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 6,014,122 | current year schedule \$plus $1 \%$ schedule (creates new sa 1.1\% cola to salary schedule \#2 plus $2 \%$ off schedule payment bas |  |
|  | 5,504,521 |  | 5,504,521 | \$ |  |  |  |
|  | 572,337 |  | 572,337 |  | 709,189 |  |  |
|  | 1,858,231 |  | 1,858,231 |  | 1,782,951 |  |  |
|  | 566,622 |  | 566,622 |  | 562,653 |  | $(3,969)$ |
|  | 207,911 |  | 207,911 |  | 169,616 |  | $(38,295)$ |
|  | 312,803 |  | 312,803 |  | 345,217 |  | 32,414 |
| \$ | 9,022,425 | \$ | 9,022,425 | \$ | 9,583,748 | \$ | 561,323 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 27,170,248 |  |  |
| \$ | 1,716,952 | \$ | 1,716,952 | \$ | 2,530,252 | \$ | 813,300 |
|  | 973,702 |  | 973,702 |  | 1,341,061 |  | 367,359 |
|  | 1,057,658 |  | 1,057,658 |  | 969,828 |  | $(87,830)$ |
|  | 3,264,838 |  | 3,264,838 |  | 3,195,708 |  | $(69,130)$ |
|  | 39,328 |  | 39,328 |  | 40,667 |  | 1,339 |
|  | 541,463 |  | 541,463 |  | 567,251 |  | 25,788 |
|  | 3,136,465 |  | 3,136,465 |  | 2,625,000 |  | $(511,465)$ |
| \$ | 10,730,406 | \$ | 10,730,406 | \$ | 11,269,767 | \$ | 539,361 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 38,440,015 |  |  |
| \$ | 337,053 | \$ | 337,053 | \$ | 317,168 | \$ | $(19,885)$ |
|  | 766,536 |  | 766,536 |  | 733,716 |  | $(32,820)$ |
| \$ | 1,103,589 | \$ | 1,103,589 | \$ | 1,050,884 | \$ | $(52,705)$ |
| \$ | 118,294 | \$ | 118,294 | \$ | 122,099 | \$ | 3,805 |
|  | 421,361 |  | 421,361 |  | 429,223 |  | 7,862 |
|  | 456,325 |  | 456,325 |  | 476,825 |  | 20,500 |
|  | 90,000 |  | 219,000 |  | - |  | $(90,000)$ |
|  | 56,300 |  | 56,300 |  | 73,700 |  | 17,400 |
|  | 324,652 |  | 324,652 |  | 391,162 |  | 66,510 |
|  | 191,943 |  | 191,943 |  | 192,893 |  | 950 |
|  | 375,763 |  | 375,763 |  | 340,763 |  | $(35,000)$ |
|  | 402,126 |  | 402,126 |  | 388,866 |  | $(13,260)$ |
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