

**Shasta College
Academic Senate Minutes
August 27, 2001
Board Room 3:10 PM**

Members Present:

Estella Cox
Sandy Johnson
Randy Reed
Jennifer McCandless
Sue Loring
Philip Roché
Ron Marley
Sue Hess
Susan Westler
Raleigh Ross
Jeff Cooper
Dave Bush
Richard Saunders
Frank Nigro
Nick Rogers
Warren Lytle
Carolyn Borg
Eileen Smith
Chuck Spotts
Cathy Anderson

Guests Present:

Ron Johnson
Theresa Degnan
Brian Spillane
Sharon Lowry
Mark Bongay

1. Call To Order: Meeting called to order at 3:10 p.m.
2. Approval Of Previous Minutes

a. Approval of May 14 (Dave Bush moved approval, Richard Saunders seconded) and August 23 Minutes (Chuck Spotts moved approval, Richard seconded). Both motions passed unanimously.

3. Reports

a. Reports

4. Discussion/Action Items

1. Tenure Review Committees: Two tenure review committees still need to be approved, that of Marc Kemp and of Ramon Tello. Chuck moved that we approve the proposed tenure committee for Marc Kemp. Warren Lytle seconded this, and the motion carried unanimously. Ramon Tello's committee is still lacking one person, and Chris Kutras is slated for this spot. Chuck Spotts moved to approve Chris Kutras for the committee, and Warren seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
2. Apportionment: Jennifer McCandless and Frank Nigro presented their findings on the apportionment of representatives to the Senate. In question were the 11 Center representatives. Given that the overall number of full-time faculty has increased, the ratio of faculty to representatives has increased from approximately 11 to 1 to 15 to 1. Several charts showing how the apportionment would work if we decided to go with 11, 12, or 13 representatives were presented. Dave Bush moved that we stick with 11 representatives, but that we adopt the recommendation to split the old Center for Arts, Culture and Society into the 2 new centers – Center for Language Arts and Social Sciences and Center for Fine Arts and Communications, and to change the name of the Center for Business and Technology to the Center for Business, Mathematics and Technology. It was decided to cross off cooperative Ed from the table since this position is actually an administrative position. Warren Lytle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
3. Proposed 16-week calendar: A vote was held on Dave Bush's motion from the previous week. This motion read: "The Academic Senate does not support the implementation of a 16-week calendar. The Academic Senate has unresolved concerns regarding a 16-week calendar and hence cannot go on record as supporting the concept. These concerns include: The effect of the schedule on students enrolled in developmental classes; The effect of the schedule on enrollments in general; and the effect of the schedule on academic integrity." The motion carried. 10 voted in favor of the motion, with 4 voting against it, and 4 abstaining.

4. Program Review Schedule: Cathy Anderson distributed a handout showing a draft of the Schedule of Program Reviews for 2001-2002. Ron Johnson noted that with this schedule, about 70% of his Center's programs would have to be reviewed in one year. A second issue was that it was unclear what qualifies as a program. Some of the "programs" listed here, like Dance, are really not programs, so his center would prefer not to review them. Completing reviews for Dance, Music and Theater together would be difficult since they are so different. It would be better to do these separately. Dave Bush noted that things like Dance would fall under some sort of program review.

Ron asked to move Art from this year to next year, and Journalism from 2002-2003 to 2003-2004. He will revisit Humanities and Dance, review the guidelines Dave mentioned, and get back to the Senate with his recommendations for review of these programs. Richard Saunders made a motion to move Art to 2002-2003. Chuck Spotts seconded this. The motion carried unanimously.

Ron also noted that Journalism does not have a full-time faculty member, and he questioned whether there were guidelines for such situations. Dave moved that the Senate make a recommendation, that in the case the a program does not have a full-time faculty member, a stipend be offered by the Administration to pay a part-time faculty member, or a full-time faculty member who is doing the work outside their assignment, to do the review. Richard seconded this. The motion carried unanimously. Jeff Cooper questioned whether this motion should be directed to the Faculty Association rather than to the Administration. Cathy will recommend this motion to both groups.

Chuck Spotts moved that we remove Interdisciplinary Studies from the Program Review schedule. Sandy Johnson seconded this. Motion carried unanimously.

Eileen Smith noted that the program review for Philosophy was completed last year. Thus, Eileen moved that program review for philosophy be moved from 2001-2002, as it's listed on the current schedule, to 2005-2006. Sue Loring seconded this. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Other

- a. Given how much work we seem to be getting done in recent Senate meetings, we will "play it by ear" to see if we really need to have the extra meetings scheduled for this fall.

7. Adjournment: Next meeting September 10.